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Starting from a certain simple tractable solution of a system of equations of the Chaplygin type for the plane motion of agas, a method is presented by which other systems of equations of a type containing arbitrary constants in their coefficients can be obtained. By selecting the constants it is possible to obtain good approximations to the equations of adiabatic gas flow over a wide range of velocity variation. Peres [1,2] has proposed a similar method.

However, with the transformations employed in [1] and [2], important properties of the initial solutions are not preserved. In our work, after the application of each Legendre transformation and the generalization of the functions which generate the coefficients of the system of equations, the inverse transformation with these generalized functions is applied. As a result, such important properties of the initial flow as continuity of the subsonic flow into the supersonic domain and uniformity of the flow at infinity are preserved. The method is applied to gas flows with transition through sonic velocity. The Tricomi equation is taken for the initial equation. A better approximation to real flows is obtained over the range of relative velocity variation $0.1<\lambda<1.2$. The calculation of a family of nozzles is given.

1. Presentation of the method. From the condition of total differentials of the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \vartheta P_{1}(\lambda) d \varphi_{1}-\sin \vartheta Q_{1}(\lambda) d \psi_{1}=d x_{1}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \sin \vartheta P_{1}(\lambda) d \varphi_{1}+\cos \vartheta Q_{1}(\lambda) d \psi_{1}=d y_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{1}(\lambda)$ and $Q_{1}(\lambda)$ are certain given functions of the independent variable $\lambda$, the following system of equations for the unknown functions $\phi_{1}(\theta, \lambda)$ and $\psi_{1}(\theta, \lambda)$ can be derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \vartheta}=-\frac{Q_{1}(\gamma)}{P_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{Q_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)}{P_{1}(\lambda)} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \vartheta} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In canonical form the system (1.2) has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial q_{1}}{d \dot{t}}= \pm V V_{1} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{1}}{\partial \sigma}=+V K_{1}^{-\partial \psi_{1}} \partial \bar{\psi} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{K_{1}}=\left(\frac{Q_{1}(\lambda) Q_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)}{P_{1}(\lambda) P_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)}\right)^{2 / 2} \quad \sigma(\lambda)=\int_{1}^{1}\left(\frac{1_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)\left(\rho_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right.}{I_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda) \rho_{1}(\lambda)}\right)^{1 / 2} d \lambda \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (1.4) can be transformed into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q_{1}}{d \sigma}=F V^{\prime} \bar{h}_{1} \mu_{1}, \quad Q_{1}=F V K_{1} d l_{1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the behavior of functions $P_{1}(\lambda)$ and $Q_{1}(\lambda)$ and their derivatives, system (1.3) and formulas (1.5) are taken together with either the upper or lower signs in front of $\sqrt{K_{1}}$.

In particular, with

$$
Q_{1}(\lambda)=Q(\lambda)=\lambda^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\frac{\lambda^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{x-1}} \tag{1.6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

the system (1.3) is the Chaplygin system of equations for the plane motion of a gas. For this case the functions $\phi_{1}=\phi$ and $t_{1}=t / f$ will be the velocity potential and the stream function, $x_{1}=x$ and $y_{1}=y$ the Cartesian coordinates of the plane of flow, $\theta$ the angle at which the velocity vector is inclined to the $x$-axis, $\lambda$ the magnitude of the relative velocity, and $h^{2}=(\kappa+1) /(\kappa-1)$. With (1.6)

$$
V \bar{K}_{1}=V \bar{K}=\left(\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda_{1}^{2} / h^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2 / 2}, \quad \sigma(\lambda)=s(\lambda)=\int\left(\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2} / h^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}
$$

and the upper signs must be placed in front of $\sqrt{K}$ in formulas (1.3) and (1.5). 'The canonical form of the Chaplygin equations is convenient for investigation and was first widely used in the work of Khristianovich $[4,5,6]$. With a given function $\sqrt{K_{1}(\sigma)}$ system (1.3) can be obtained with various functions $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$. In fact, with a given function $\sqrt{K_{1}}$ formulas (1.5) represent a system of equations with respect to $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$. Eliminating one of the unknown functions, we obtain a linear differential equation of second order with respect to the other unknown function. Therefore, in general form functions $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ will depend on two arbitrary constants which do not enter into the expression for $\sqrt{K(\sigma)}$.

We will now present a way of obtaining from the system of equations (1.3) analogous equations with new arbitrary constants contained in their coefficients. We pass from the functions $\phi_{1}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{1}$ to the functions $\Phi, \Psi$ with the help of the Legendre transformations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=x_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+y_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} \cdots \varphi_{1}, \quad \Psi=x_{1} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+y_{1} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} \psi_{1} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\Phi_{u_{1}}=-\Psi_{t_{1}}, \quad y_{1}=\Phi_{v_{1}}=\Psi_{r_{2}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
u_{1}=P_{1}^{-1}(\lambda) \cos \vartheta, \quad v_{1}=p_{1}^{-1}(\lambda) \sin \vartheta, \quad r_{1}=Q_{1}^{-1}(\lambda) \cos \vartheta, \quad t_{1}=Q_{1}^{-1}(\lambda) \sin \vartheta(1.10)
$$

If in system (1.9) we pass from the variables $u_{1}, v_{1}, r_{1}, t_{1}$ to the independent variables $\theta, \lambda$ and then further reduce to canonical form, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \vartheta}=\mp \chi_{1}(\lambda) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \sigma}, \quad-\quad \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma}= \pm \chi_{1}(\lambda) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vartheta}\left(\chi_{1}(\lambda)=V K_{1} \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{Q_{1}^{2}}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $P_{1}(\lambda), Q_{1}(\lambda)$ are a particular solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{2}^{* 2}}{Q_{2}^{* 1}}\left(\frac{Q_{2}^{*}(\lambda) Q_{2}^{* \prime}(\lambda)}{P_{2}^{*}(\lambda) P_{2}^{*^{\prime}}(\lambda)}\right)^{1 / 2}=\chi_{1}(\lambda), \quad \frac{P_{2}^{* \prime}(\lambda) Q_{2}^{* \prime}(\lambda)}{P_{2}^{* *}(\lambda) Q_{2}^{*}(\lambda)}=\sigma^{\prime 2}(\lambda) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{2}$ and $Q_{2}$ * are the unknown functions. The system (1.12) is transformed to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q_{2}{ }^{*}}{d \sigma}= \pm \chi_{1} p_{2}{ }^{*}, \quad q_{2}{ }^{*}= \pm \chi_{1} \frac{d p_{2}{ }^{*}}{d \sigma} \quad\left(p_{2}{ }^{*}=p_{2}^{*-1}, q_{2}^{*}=Q_{2}^{*-1}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} p_{2}{ }^{*}}{d \sigma^{2}}+\frac{d \ln \chi_{1}}{d \sigma} \frac{d p_{2}{ }^{*}}{d \sigma}-p_{2}{ }^{*}=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Employing the Liouville formula to calculate the general solution of equation (1.14) and taking (1.13) into account, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{2}^{*}=p_{1}\left(1+a_{1} J_{1}\right), \quad J_{1}=\int_{0}^{\sigma} \frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{\sqrt{K_{1}}} d \sigma \\
q_{2}^{*}==q_{1}\left(1 \pm a_{1} p_{10} Q_{10}-a_{1} J_{2}\right), \quad J_{2}=\int_{0}^{\sigma} \sqrt{K_{1}} \prime_{1}^{2} d \sigma \tag{1.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P_{10}$ and $Q_{10}$ are the values of $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ with $\sigma=0(\lambda=1)$, and $a_{1}$ is a constant of integration. The functions $\underline{g}_{2}{ }^{*}$ and $q_{2}{ }^{*}$ are calculated to within an arbitrary constant factor which does not affect the generality of the investigation. By an inverse transformation from the functions $\Phi$, $\Psi$ to the functions $\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}$ according to the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}=u_{2}^{*} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_{2}^{*}}+v_{2}^{*} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial v_{2}^{*}}-け, \quad \psi_{2}=r_{2} * \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{2}^{*}}+t_{2}^{*} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_{2}^{*}}-\Psi \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{*}=p_{2}^{*}(\lambda) \cos \vartheta, \quad v_{2}{ }^{*}-p_{2}^{*}(\lambda) \sin \vartheta, \quad r_{2}^{*}=q_{2}^{*}(\lambda) \cos \vartheta, \quad t_{2}^{*}=q_{2}^{*}(\lambda) \sin \vartheta \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we arrive at the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial v}= \pm V K_{2} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial \sigma}=\mp V \overline{K_{2}} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \vartheta} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{K_{2}}=\left(\frac{Q_{2}^{*}(\lambda) Q_{2}^{* \prime}(\lambda)}{I_{2}^{*}(\lambda) P_{2}^{* \prime}}(\lambda)\right)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{K_{1}}\left(\frac{1+a_{1} J_{1}}{1 \pm a_{1} P_{10} Q_{10}-a_{1} J_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\sqrt{K_{2}}$ may contain two more arbitrary constants than $\sqrt{K_{1}}$. The second essential constant $c_{1}$ is contained in functions $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ if they are computed with the general solution of system (1.5) for a given function $\sqrt{K_{1}(\sigma)}$.

Increasing by one the indices in (1.1) and (1.5), we obtain formulas for computing the plane $x_{2}, y_{2}$ which corresponds to system (1.18), and we also obtain a system of equations for functions $P_{2}$ and $Q_{2}$, which are computed from their particular solutions $P_{2}=P_{2}{ }^{*}, Q_{2}=Q_{2}{ }^{*}$. To within an arbitrary constant factor we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}=P_{2} *\left(1+c_{2} \int_{0}^{\sigma} \frac{q_{2}^{* 3}}{\chi_{1}} d \sigma\right), \quad Q_{2}=Q_{1}\left(1 \mp c_{2} p_{20} q_{20}^{*}-c_{2} \int_{0}^{\sigma} \chi_{1} p_{2} * \cdot d \sigma\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{20} *$ and $q_{20}{ }^{*}$ are the values of $p_{2}{ }^{*}$ and $q_{2}{ }^{*}$ with $\sigma=0$, and $c_{2}$ is a constant of integration. This method of acquiring constants can be continued farther. Increasing the indices in (1.19) by one, we obtain a formula for $\sqrt{K_{3}}$, etc. The function $\sqrt{K_{3}}$ will already contain four arbitrary constants more than $\sqrt{K_{1}}$. Supposing the initial system (1.3) sufficiently simple for solution, by selecting $2(n-1)$ arbitrary constants we can try to make $\sqrt{K_{n}}$ approximate the $\sqrt{K}$ of adiabatic gas flow. The dependence between $\phi_{n}, \psi_{n}$ and $\phi_{1}, t / r_{1}$ will be apparent if we find them for $n=2$.

We will denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{u_{2} *}=-\Psi_{l_{2} *}=x_{2}{ }^{*}, \quad \Phi_{v_{2^{*}}^{*}}=\Psi_{r_{2}^{*}}=y_{2}{ }^{*} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account formulas (1.16), (1.13), (1.10), (1.9) and (1.5), after simple calculations we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{2}^{*}=\left(\frac{P_{2}^{*}}{P_{1}} \sin ^{2} \vartheta+\frac{Q_{2}^{*}}{Q_{1}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta\right) x_{1}+\left(\frac{Q_{2}^{*}}{Q_{1}}-\frac{P_{2}^{*}}{P_{1}}\right) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta y_{1} \\
& y_{2}^{*}=\left(\frac{Q_{2}^{*}}{Q_{1}}-\frac{P_{2}^{*}}{P_{1}}\right) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta x_{1}+\left(\frac{P_{2}^{*}}{P_{1}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta+\frac{Q_{2}^{*}}{Q_{1}} \sin ^{2} \vartheta\right) y_{1} \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

From formulas (1.8), (1.16), (1.21) and (1.22) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{1}+\left(\frac{Q_{2}^{*}}{Q_{1} P_{2}{ }^{*}}-\frac{1}{P_{1}}\right)\left(\cos \vartheta x_{1}+\sin \vartheta y_{1}\right) \\
& \psi_{2}=\psi_{1}+\left(\frac{P_{2}{ }^{*}}{P_{1} Q_{2} 2^{*}}-\frac{1}{Q_{1}}\right)\left(\cos \vartheta y_{1}-\sin \vartheta x_{1}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Increasing by one the indices in (1.23), we obtain formulas for functions $\phi_{3}, \psi_{3}$, etc. Functions $P_{3}, Q_{3}$ are calculated according to formulas analogous to (1.15). On the basis of formulas (1.23) and (1.1) we conclude that function $\psi_{2}$ preserves a series of important properties of the initial flow. For instance, if $\psi_{1}$ has a singularity which represents an undisturbed translational flow at infinity, then $\psi_{2}$ also contains this singularity. At the transition line the condition of continuity of the subsonic flow into the supersonic domain is also preserved [5,7]. For $P_{2}{ }^{*}=P_{1}, Q_{2}^{*}=Q_{1}$, system (1.18) coincides with system (1.3). On the basis of $(1.23), \psi_{2}=\psi_{1}$ in this case.

We note that coinciding systems of equations are also obtained in analogous circumstances by Peres, but that every concrete solution $\psi_{1}$ varies according to the formula $\psi_{2}=\psi_{1}+\partial^{2} \psi_{1} / \partial \theta^{2}$. Consequently, the transformations used in [1,2] do not preserve such important properties of the initial flow as, for instance, continuity of the subsonic flow into the supersonic domain [7].
2. Application of the method. Calculation of nozales. We will apply the method to gas flows with transition through sonic velocity. In the initial system (1.3) we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\lambda)=s(\lambda), \quad \sqrt{K_{1}}=A s^{1 / 2}=-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / 2} A \eta^{1 / 2} \quad(A<0) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable $\eta=(-3 / 2 S)^{2 / 3}$ takes positive values in the elliptic region and negative values in the hyperbolic region. With (2.1) we obtain for $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ the Airy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} P_{1}(\eta)}{d \eta^{2}}-\eta P_{1}(\eta)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=c_{1} k(\eta)+c_{2} l(\eta), \quad Q_{1}=\mp\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / 3} A\left(c_{1} k^{\prime}(\eta)+c_{2} l^{\prime}(\eta)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(\eta)$ and $l(\eta)$ are linearly independent solutions of equation (2.2),
represented by the following series which are convergent for all values of $\eta$ :
$k(\eta)=1.0899\left(1+\frac{\eta^{3}}{2 \cdot 3}+\frac{\eta^{6}}{(2 \cdot 5)(3 \cdot 6) .}+\cdots\right)+0.7946\left(\eta+\frac{\eta^{4}}{3 \cdot 4}+\frac{\eta^{7}}{(3 \cdot 6)(4 \cdot 7)}+\ldots\right)(2.4)$

$$
l(\eta)=0.6293\left(1+\frac{\eta^{3}}{2 \cdot 3}+\frac{\eta^{6}}{(2 \cdot 5)(3 \cdot 6)}+\ldots\right)-0.4587\left(\eta+\frac{\eta^{4}}{3 \cdot 4}+\frac{\eta^{7}}{(3 \cdot 6)(4 \cdot 7)}+\ldots\right)
$$

Tables of these functions have been computed by Fok [8]. With (2.1) the system (1.3) is the principal part of the Chaplygin system of equations in the neighborhood of $\lambda=1$, if

$$
A=A_{0}=-3^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^{\frac{x+2}{3(x-1)}}
$$

and if the upper signs are taken in front of $\sqrt{ } K_{1}$.


Fig. 1.
In Fig. 1 curve (1) depicts with $\kappa=1.4$ the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{\sqrt{K}}{A_{0} s^{1 / s}}=-\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\sqrt{K}}{A_{0} \eta^{1 / 2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose deviation from unity is an indication of the site of the transonic range of variation of $\eta$ in which solutions of the system (1.3) with (2.1) and $A=A_{0}$ can represent real flows. Curve (2) shows the dependence of $\lambda$ on $\eta$. But in many problems such as, for instance, the calculation of nozzles we have larger intervals of velocity variation.

For a more precise approximation to $\sqrt{K}$ we shall here confine ourselves to the function $\sqrt{K_{2}}$. When the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{0}\left(1 \pm a_{1} p_{10} Q_{10}\right)^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}=-\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{\sqrt{K_{2}}}{A_{0} \eta^{1 / 2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

equals unity at the point $\eta=0$. With values of $c_{1}=0, c_{2}=1$ and
$(2 / 3)^{1 / 3} a_{1} A=-1.5$ curve (3) of function $f$ is close to the exact curve over a large interval of variation of $\eta$ (Fig. 1). From (2.6) we find that $A=-0.7773$. We now note that with $c_{1}=0$ the positive functions $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ vary oppositely from the functions of the real flow. Therefore, in the case under consideration, all the formulas in Section 1 are taken with the lower signs. Thus, the functions $P_{2}$ and $Q_{2}$ satisfy a system of equations of type (1.5) with a plus sign in front of $\sqrt{K_{2}}$, whereas the functions $P$ and $Q$ of an adiabatic gas flow satisfy an analogous system of equations with a minus sign in front of $\sqrt{K}$. From the proximity of $\sqrt{K_{2}}$ to $\sqrt{K}$ it follows that the functions $P_{*}$ and $Q_{*}$ of the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q_{*}}{d s}=-V \overline{K_{2}} P_{*} . \quad Q_{*}=-\sqrt{K_{2}} \frac{d P_{*}}{d s} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

can always be chosen close to the functions $P$ and $Q$. For this it is sufficient to require that $P$ and $Q$ be coincident with the exact values for some $\lambda$ within the interval where $\sqrt{K_{2}}$ is approximately equal to $\sqrt{K}$. In accordance with the particular solutions $P_{2}=P_{2}{ }^{*}, Q_{2}=-Q_{2}{ }^{*}$ we calculate the general solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{*}=b_{1} P_{2}^{*}\left(1+b_{2} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{q_{2}^{* 2}}{\chi_{1}} d s\right), \quad Q_{*}=-b Q_{2}^{*}\left(1+b_{2} p_{20} * q_{20} *-b_{2} \int_{0}^{s} \chi_{1} p_{2}^{* 2} d s\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With values of the constants $b_{1}=1.589$ and $b_{2}=-0.9702$, functions $P$. and $Q$ coincide with the exact values at the point $\lambda=1$. In Fig. 1 curves (4) and (5) represent functions $P / P$, and $Q / Q$. The system of equations of the form (1.18) with the upper signs in front of $\sqrt{K_{2}}$ corresponds to formulas (2.8). We have $\phi_{*}=-\phi_{2}$ and $\psi_{*}=\psi_{2}$, where $\phi_{*}$ and $\psi_{*}$ are the velocity potential and stream function of the approximation to adiabatic flow achieved. Taking into account that in formulas of type (1.1) with index 2 for the case $P_{2}=P_{2}{ }^{*}, Q_{2}=Q_{2}{ }^{*}$ we have $x_{2}=x_{2}{ }^{*}$ and $y_{2}=y_{2}{ }^{*}$, for the calculation of the plane of the gas flow we obtain the following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d x_{*}=\left(\frac{Q_{*}}{\left.Q_{2} \sin ^{2} \vartheta-\frac{P_{*}}{P_{2}{ }^{*}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta\right) d x_{2}^{*}-\sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta\left(\frac{P_{*}}{P_{2}^{*}}+\frac{Q_{*}}{Q_{2}{ }^{*}}\right) d y_{2}^{*}}\right. \\
& d y_{*}=-\left(\frac{P_{*}}{P_{2}^{*}}+\frac{Q_{*}}{Q_{2}^{*}}\right) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta d x_{2}^{*}+\left(\frac{Q_{*}}{Q_{2}{ }^{*}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta-\frac{P_{*}}{P_{2}{ }^{*}} \sin ^{2} \vartheta\right) d y_{2}^{*} \tag{210}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the flow velocity $\lambda$. From the proximity of $\sqrt{K_{2}}$ to $\sqrt{K}$ it follows that the results are not essentially changed if the system of equations with respect to $\phi_{*}$ and $\psi_{*}$ is considered to be exact for a fictitious gas and if, in accordance with this interpretation, the magnitude of the velocity is determined according to the formula $P^{-1}(\lambda)$.

For calculating nozzles from initial data we will take the following solutions of system (1.3) with (2.1), found by Fal' kovich:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{1}=\alpha(\vartheta, \eta)+d_{1} \beta(\vartheta, \eta)  \tag{2.11}\\
\alpha(\vartheta, \eta)=-\left({ }^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3}\left\{\left(\vartheta+\sqrt{\vartheta^{2}+4 / 9 \eta^{3}}\right)^{1 / 9}+\left(\vartheta-\sqrt{\vartheta^{2}+4 / 9 \eta^{3}}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\}  \tag{2.12}\\
\beta(\vartheta, \eta)=\left(\frac{2 \eta_{0}^{3}}{\eta_{0}^{3}+\eta^{3}+9 / 4 \vartheta^{2}}\right)^{1 / 6} F\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, 1,1-\frac{4 \eta_{0}^{3} \eta^{3}}{\left(\eta_{0}^{3}+\eta^{3}+{ }^{0} / 4 \vartheta^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \times \\
\times \operatorname{arctg} \frac{6 \eta_{0}^{3 / 2} \vartheta}{\eta^{3}-\eta_{0}^{3}+9 / 4 \vartheta^{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F(a, b, c, z)$ is the hypergeometric function and $d_{1}$ is an arbitrary constant [9,10]. Solution (2.11) realizes a family of nozzles whose upstream flow due to the function $\beta(\sigma, \eta)$ tends to the uniform subsonic velocity with corresponding magnitude $\eta_{0}$. With $\eta<0$ the argument of the function $F$ is larger than unity. According to the formula for the analytic continuation of the hypergeometric series [11], it follows that for $\eta<0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta(\vartheta, \eta)=\left(\frac{2 \eta_{0}{ }^{3}}{\eta^{3}+\eta_{0}^{3}+9 / 4 \vartheta^{2}}\right)^{1 / 6}\left\{\frac{\Gamma(1 / 3)}{\Gamma(11 / 12) \Gamma(5 / 12)} F\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4 \eta_{0}{ }^{3} \eta^{3}}{\left(\eta_{0}^{3}+\eta^{3}+9 / 4 \vartheta^{2}\right)}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+\frac{2^{2 / 3} \Gamma(-1 / 3) \eta_{0} \eta}{\Gamma(1 / 12) \Gamma(\sqrt{2} / 12)}\left(\eta^{3}+\eta_{0}^{3}+\frac{9}{4} \vartheta^{2}\right)^{-1 / 3} F\left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{4}{3} ; \frac{4 \eta_{0}^{3} \eta^{3}}{\left(\eta^{3}+\eta_{0}{ }^{3}+9 / 4 \vartheta^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)\right\} \times \\
\times \operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{6 \eta_{0}^{1 / 3} \vartheta}{\eta^{3}-\eta_{0}{ }^{3}+{ }^{9} / 4^{2}}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

The function $\alpha(\theta, \eta)$ in the neighborhood of $\eta=0$ is the principal part of the solution (2.11) which guarantees that the continuity condition is satisfied [7].

By $x_{* 1}, y_{* 1}, \psi_{* 1}$ we denote the magnitudes of $x_{*}, y_{*}, \psi$ which corres pond to the initial solution (2.12). Let $x_{* 2}, y_{.2}, \psi_{* 2}$ correspond to function (2.13). For greater diversity in the choice of nozzle forms we will add the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{* 3}=\vartheta \tag{2,15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{* 3}=Q_{*}(\eta) \cos \vartheta-Q_{*}(0), \quad y_{* 3}=Q_{*}(\eta) \sin \vartheta \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the family of nozzles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{*}=\psi_{* 1}+d_{1} \psi_{* 2}+d_{2} \psi_{* 3}, \quad x_{*}=x_{* 1}+d_{1} x_{* 2}+d_{2} x_{* 3}, \quad y_{*}=y_{* 1}+d_{1} y_{* 2}+d_{2} y_{* 3} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is calculated according to the above formulas and they depend on two arbitrary constants $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. We have computed these functions in the variables $a, \eta$. Solving (2.12) with respect to $\theta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta=-\eta \alpha-\frac{\alpha^{3}}{3} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the necessary integrations are carried out initially for $\eta$ along the axis of symmetry $(\theta=0)$, and then along a line $\eta=$ const. The point $\theta=0, \eta=0$ corresponds to the origin of the coordinates $x_{* i}=y_{* i}=$ $0(i=1,2,3)$.

Functions $\psi^{\prime}, x_{i}, y_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ have been tabulated for a series of subsonic values of $\eta$ in the interval of variation of $a$ from zero to unity, and can be obtained from the author.

The coordinates of the nozzle wall $\psi=$ const are determined on each line $\eta=$ const by integrating with respect to $\alpha$. Fig. 2 shows a nozzle with $d_{1}=d_{2}=0$ and $t_{* 1}=0.388$.


Fig. 2.

It is possible to use the given nozzle form to determine the outflow from a container of gas with transition through sonic velocity. The walls of the nozzle can be computed up to a smooth junction with the walls of the container.

For nozzles with subsonic translational flow at infinity the magnitude of the half-width on the basis of formulas (1.23) and (2.13) cannot be larger than

$$
\frac{d_{1}}{2} \frac{p_{1}\left(r_{n}\right)}{p_{2}^{*}\left(r_{n}\right)} \frac{q^{*}\left(r_{n}\right)}{q_{1}\left(r_{n}\right)} \pi
$$

Fig. 3 shows a nozzle with $d_{1}=1 / 2, d_{2}=0$ and $\eta_{0}=21$. Because of the effect of the function $\psi^{\prime}$. the transonic section of the nozzle will


Fig. 3.
be less steep than that obtained with $d_{1}=d_{2}=0$. By an appropriate choice of $d_{2}$ the effect of the second term $d_{1} y_{* 2}$ can be cancelled in the neighbor-
hood of $\eta=0$.
According to [7] satisfaction of the continuity condition guarantees the potential nature of the supersonic flow only up to the characteristic which is tangent to the axis of symmetry of the transition (Fig. 4).


Fig. 4.

Since the calculation of the supersonic part of the nozzle is more conveniently carried out from the characteristic, and since nozzles with steep walls are of interest to us, we may expect that on the characteristic of the second family $c d$ we are already sufficiently far from sonic velocity to use the well-known approximate solutions of the fundamental boundary value problems of supersonic gas flow [12, 13]. The flow in the region ocd will be potential if the Jacobian

$$
J=\frac{D\left(\varphi_{*}, \psi_{*}\right)}{D(\mathcal{Y}, \lambda)} \neq 0
$$

for all points of this region. In the independent variables $\theta, \eta$ proof of the potential nature of the flow is reduced to verification of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi_{*}}{\partial \vartheta} \neq \pm \frac{1}{V-\eta}=\frac{\partial \psi_{*}}{\partial \eta} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the validity of which can be demonstrated.
The transonic part of the nozzle can be calculated approximately by the formulas

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vartheta=\vartheta_{n}+\left(\frac{d \vartheta}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0}\left(\eta-\eta_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d^{2} \vartheta}{d \eta^{2}}\right)_{\eta=0}\left(r_{1}-\eta_{0}\right)^{2} \\
y^{*}=y^{*}+\left(\frac{d y^{*}}{d x^{*}}\right)_{\eta=0}\left(x^{*}-x^{*}{ }_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d^{2} y^{*}}{d x^{* 2}}\right)_{\eta=0}\left(x^{*}-x^{*}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{d^{3} y^{*}}{d x^{* 3}}\right)_{\eta=0}\left(x^{*}-x^{*} 0^{3}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\theta_{0}, x_{0}{ }^{*}, y_{0}{ }^{*}$ are the values of the quantities on the line $\eta=0$ and the derivatives are taken along a streamline.

Along $\psi *=$ const we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vartheta}{d \eta}=-\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial \eta} / \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial \vartheta}, \quad \frac{d^{2} \vartheta}{d \eta^{2}}=\frac{2 \psi^{*} n \psi^{*} \vartheta \eta}{\psi^{* 2} \vartheta}-\frac{\psi^{*} \eta \eta}{\psi^{*} \vartheta}-\frac{\psi^{* 2} \cdot n \psi^{*} \vartheta \vartheta}{\psi^{* 3} \vartheta} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of formulas (2.3), (2.12), etc. it is not difficult to compute all the necessary quantities. For instance,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{d y^{*}}{d x^{*}}\right)_{n-0}=\operatorname{tg} \vartheta_{10}, \quad\left(\frac{d^{2} y^{*}}{d x^{* L}}\right)_{\eta=0}=\frac{1.5^{1 / 3}}{\cos ^{*} \vartheta_{0}\left(\psi^{*}{ }_{n}\right)_{n=0}}  \tag{2.22}\\
\left(\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{n=0}=\left(1-a_{1} P_{1}(0) Q_{1}(0)\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta}\right)_{n=0}-\frac{1.5^{1 / 3} a_{1} Q_{1}(0)}{A}\left(\cos \vartheta y_{1}-\sin \vartheta x_{1}\right)_{n=0}\right] \\
\left(\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial \vartheta}\right)_{n=n}=\left(1 \quad a_{1} P_{1}(0) Q_{1}(0)\right)\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \vartheta}\right)_{n=0}+a_{1} P_{1}(0)\left(\sin \vartheta y_{1}+\cos \theta x_{1}\right)_{n-1} \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\left(\cos 9 y_{1}-\sin 9 x_{1}\right)_{\eta=0}=-3^{1 / 3} Q_{1}(0) \vartheta_{0}^{1 / 6}+\left(d_{2}-\frac{6 \cdot 2^{1 / 6}}{\eta_{n}{ }^{1 / 2}} \frac{\Gamma^{1}(1 / 3)}{\Gamma\left({ }^{11 / 12}\right) \Gamma^{1}(9 / 12)} d_{1}\right) \vartheta_{0}+O\left(v_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sin \vartheta y_{1}+\cos \vartheta x_{1}\right)_{\eta=0}--\frac{3^{1 / 3} P_{1}(0)}{2^{2 / 4}} \vartheta_{0}^{2 / 3}-\frac{3^{1 / 2} Q_{1}(0)}{4} \vartheta_{0}^{1 / 3}+O\left(\vartheta_{0}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.24}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \vartheta}\right)_{\eta=0}=-\left(3 \vartheta_{0}\right)^{-1 / 3}-\frac{6 \cdot 2^{1 / 6}}{\eta_{0}^{3 / 2}} \frac{1(1 / 3) d_{1}}{\Gamma(1 / 12) \Gamma(5 / 12)}+d_{2}+O\left(\vartheta_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0}=\left(3 \vartheta_{0}\right)^{-1 / 3}-\frac{6.2^{3 / 4} \Gamma(-i / 3) d_{1}}{\Gamma(1 / 12) \Gamma(7 / 12) \eta_{0}^{1 / 2}}+O\left(\vartheta_{0}^{3}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Along the characteristic oc we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta=\frac{2}{3}(-\eta)^{3 / 2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the system of equations (2.26) and (2.20), we find the values of $\theta=\theta_{c}$ and $\eta=\eta_{c}$ at point $c$. Along the characteristic $c d$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{\vartheta}+\frac{2}{3}(-\eta)^{3 / 2}=\vartheta_{c}+\frac{2}{3}\left(-\eta_{c}\right)^{8 / 2} \tag{2,27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the coordinates $x, y$ along $c d$ by formulas (2.10), (1.22) and (1.1). The values of $x_{1}, y_{1}$ which correspond to the function (2.12) in the variables $\eta$, a are equal to

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}(\alpha, \eta)=x_{1}(0, \eta)-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / 3} A P_{1}(\eta) \int_{0}^{\alpha} \cos \left(\eta \alpha+\frac{\alpha^{3}}{3}\right) \alpha d \alpha+Q_{1}(\eta) \int_{0}^{\alpha} \sin \left(\eta x+\frac{\alpha^{3}}{3}\right) d \alpha \\
y_{1}(\alpha, \eta)=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / 3} A P_{1}(\eta) \int_{0}^{\alpha} \sin \left(\eta \alpha+\frac{\alpha^{3}}{3}\right) \alpha d \alpha+Q_{1}(\eta) \int_{0}^{\alpha} \cos \left(\eta \alpha+\frac{\alpha^{3}}{3}\right) d \alpha \tag{2.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution (2.12) is not unique in the region aodc. For the regions obc and ocd we have respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{1}=\alpha_{1}=\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}(\xi+v)^{1 / 2}\left\{\cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 V \overline{\bar{\xi}}}{v-\bar{\xi}}\right)+V \sqrt{3} \sin \left(\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 V \sqrt{v \xi}}{v-\xi}\right)\right.  \tag{2,29}\\
& \psi_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}(\xi+v)^{1 / 2}\left\{\cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 V v \bar{v}}{v-\xi}\right)-\sqrt{3} \sin \left(\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 V \overline{v \bar{\xi}}}{v-\xi}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \xi=\frac{2}{3}(-\eta)^{3 / 2}-\vartheta, \quad 2 v=\frac{2}{3}(-\eta)^{3 / 2}+\vartheta \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $\nu=0$ and $\xi=0$ the arc $t g$ is equal to $\pi$ and 0 , respectively, and on od it equals $1 / 2 \pi$.

In concluding this paper we note that we have applied the method we have presented to constructing nozzles with a straight transition line. For instance, if the exact solution of the problem of the outflow of gas from a Borda mouthpiece contained in [14] is constructed with our function $d_{1} y_{~_{2}}$, then we obtain a nozzle with a straight transition line in the case of uniform translational flow at infinity upstream.
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